

United States Patent and Trademark Office

BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 66022-0029 10/698,757 10/31/2003 David Jesse 2613 03/31/2006 **EXAMINER** 10291 7590 RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC AHMAD, NASSER 39533 WOODWARD AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 140**

1772
DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/698,757	JESSE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nasser Ahmad	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent in. Beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u>12/5/06</u> .	
,—	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	•	
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 31-42</u> is/are pending in the	ne application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 31-42</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	S 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	olgir priority under do dio.d.	3 1 7 5 (2) (3) 5 (1)
1. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received	•
2. Certified copies of the priority document		opplication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		
application from the International Bu		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	•	received.
•		
Attachment(s)	,	•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	′	s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI	B/08) 5) L Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

6) Other: ____.

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 5, 2005 has been entered.

Rejections Withdrawn

- 2. Claims 1-8 and 31-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, made in the last Office Action of October 4, 2005 has been withdrawn in view of the amendment filed on December 5, 2005.
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 31-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barrett (4543765) has been withdrawn in view of the amendment.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 31-42 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1772

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-8 and 31-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barrett (4543765).

Barrett relates to a wood portion (12), a removable protective layer (16 or 18), a first adhesive (14) that is disposed between the protective layer and a first side of the wood portion, wherein the first adhesive attaches the protective layer to the wood portions and the first adhesive is retained as the protective layer is removed from the wood portion (col. 4, lines 30-39 and col. 5, lines 50-55). A second adhesive (22) is disposed on a second side of the wood portion (col. 8, lines 10-15), the second adhesive is adapted to attach the wood portion to a separate component.

The wood portion of Barrett reads on the phrase "edge band" because the claimed edge band is also a wood portion having the same structure.

The adhesive strength of the first adhesive relative to the wood portion is less strong than the attachment adhesive of the second adhesive relative to the wood portion because the first adhesive is removed with the protective layer, while the second adhesive holds the wood portion in-place on the separate component. As such the first adhesive forms a non-permanent attachment between the protective layer and the wood portion. The protective layer comprises plastic material or non-plastic material such as paper (col. 6, lines 1-5).

The wood portion can be in a roll form (co. 5, lines 32-37). The wood portion can be finished (col. 4, lines 53-55 and col. 1, lines 37-38) which would include staining, painting, etc.

Art Unit: 1772

The intended use phrases such as 'adapted to', 'may be selectively controlled', etc. have not been given patentable weight because said phrase are not found to be of positive limitation.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed December 5, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Barrett does not teach an edge band as positively recited in claim 1. This is not found to be convincing because, in the absence of any definition of an edge band, Barrett's structure is capable of performing as an edge band because all elements of the claimed structure are the same as of Barrett. Applicant has failed to show that the wooden tiles of Barrett would not function as an edge band.

Similarly, regarding claims 31 and 36, the above explanation apply a fortiori herein.

Applicant argues that claim 31 requires "a roll of wood portion", which is not shown in Barrett. This is not deemed to be persuasive because applicant is directed to Barrett, col. 5, lines 32-37, wherein it is clearly mentioned that the wood portion of Barrett can be in a roll form.

Thus, in the absence of any evidence to the contrary, it remains the examiner's position that the claimed invention is anticipated by Barrett for reasons discussed hereinabove.

Conclusion

8. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the

Art Unit: 1772

application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad

3/28/0

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. March 28, 2006.